

#  
\$" \$\$#\$\$      \$( Z & "





---

610680912@qq.com

2022

22JNZS78

26



---

#Ž

#

---

2019

Caramazza & Grober 1976 Nunberg 1979

1995 Frisson & Pickering 1999 Pickering & Frisson 2001

Klein & Murphy 2001 Foraker & Murphy 2012


2003 2009 2017

\$ż

\$ż#

1

28



---

2

\$ž\$

2                                  vs.                                  ×2  
/       <sup>①</sup>    ×3    vs.


\$ž%

81	39	42			19
	8		HSK2		33
1~2			HSK3	4	29
3			HSK5	6	
			18	29	

\$ž&

2010

<http://ctmlib.com>



#

10

5

1

4

2003

1

2003

2



/ - /

③

2013

/

8

32

\$Z

5


③



\$" #)

!

!

30





SPSS19.0


Repeated measures ANOVA


$F \ 1 \ 78 = 108.908 \ p < 0.001$

$F \ 1 \ 78 = 141.240 \ p < 0.001$

/

$F \ 2 \ 78 = 829.118 \ p < 0.001$






#

 $p < 0.001$ 

$$F \quad 2 \quad 78 \quad = 10.122 \quad p < 0.001$$

 $p = 0.145$ 

$$ps < 0.001 \quad 1$$




#

$$F \quad 2 \quad 78 \quad = 3.436 \quad p < 0.05$$

/


$$ps < 0.001$$



2



\$

$$F \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad = 0.041$$





---

$p=0.84$

$F = 2$

78 =0.568  $p=0.569$

&ž


&ž#

/

#### 4.1.1

Taft & Forster 1975 Gagné & Spalding 2004

2018



53%




27.8%

1997



73.5%

2017

33









---

&ž\$

#### 4.2.1



2013



2000 Liu & McBride-Chang


Liu & McBride-Chang 2013  
proper organization

2013

3 Liu & McBride-Chang

35





%


Chen 2018

morphological awareness

Koda & Miller 2018 Chen 2018

Liu & McBride-Chang 2013

#### 4.2.2





---

2

/

2005

2012



6

31.6%

5.7%

2012


21.2%




36.4%

34.5%

51.7%

37






#




---


2009

' Ž



38






ž \$" #) ž  
#"  
#\$) ) ž #\$\*( ž  
ž \$" " %ž  
\$ (( ž ) \$ž  
ž \$" " \*ž  
#\$" ž #\$\* ž  
ž \$" " +ž  
\* \$ž + " ž  
ž \$" " %ž  
%  
% ž  
% ž  
ž \$" "#+ž  
& ž' \$ž  
ž \$" #%ž  
ž \$" #ž  
\$ & ž &+ž  
ž \$" #) ž  
% #& ž #&%ž  
ž \$" #\* ž  
# +' ž #' %ž  
ž \$" " " ž  
ž  
ž \$" #&  
ž #++) ž  
ž \$" " ' ž  
& \$+& ž %' \$ž  
ž \$" #( ž  
\$) ( ž \$\*\* ž

Caramazza, A. & E. G. 1976. Polysemy and the structure of the subjective lexicon. In C. Rameh (Ed.). *Semantics: Theory and Application*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.

Chen, T. X. 2018. The contribution of morphological awareness to lexical inferencing in L2 Chinese: Comparing more-skilled and less-skilled learners. *Foreign Language Annals*, 1:1–15.



- 
- FORAKER. S. & G. L. M. 2012. Polysemy in sentence comprehension: effects of meaning dominance. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 67: 407–425.
- Frisson. S. & M. J. Pickering. 1999. The processing of metonymy: evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 25: 1366–1383.
- Gagné. C. L. & T. L. Spalding. 2004. Effect of relation availability on the interpretation and access of familiar noun–noun compounds. *Brain and Language*, 90: 478–486.
- Hsu. C. H., L. Pylkkänen & C. Y. Lee 2018. Effects of morphological complexity in left temporal cortex: An MEG study of reading Chinese disyllabic words. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, 49: 168–177.
- Klein. D. E. & G. Murphy. 2001. The representation of polysemous words. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 45: 259–282.
- Koda. K. & R. Miller. 2018. Cross-linguistic interaction in L2 word meaning inference in English as a foreign language. In H. Pae (Ed.), *Writing systems, reading processes, and cross-linguistic influences: Reflections from the Chinese, Japanese and Korean languages*. Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Liu. P. D. & C. McBride-Chang. 2010. Morphological processing of Chinese compounds from a grammatical view. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 31: 605–617.
- Liu. P. D. & C. McBride-Chang. 2013. Morphological structure processing during word recognition and its relationship to character reading among third-grade Chinese children. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 43: 715–735.
- Nunberg. G. 1979. The non-uniqueness of semantic solutions: polysemy. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 2: 143–184.
- Nunberg. G. 1995. Transfers of meaning. *Journal of Semantics*, 2: 109–132.
- Pickering. M. J. & S. Frisson. 2001. Processing ambiguous verbs: evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 27: 556–573.
- Taft. M. & K. Forster. 1975. Lexical storage and retrieval of prefixed words. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behaviour*, 6: 638–647.